

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

JOHN L. HILL ATTORNEY GENERAL Austin, Texas 78711

May 4, 1973

Re:

Honorable Jim Wallace, Chairman Intergovernmental Relations Committee Senate of the State of Texas Austin, Texas Letter Advisory No. 26

The constitutionality of S.B. No. 779 providing for the supplementation of salaries for the presiding judge and judges of the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals by certain county commissioners' courts.

Dear Senator Wallace:

Your letter of April 5, 1973, requests our opinion as to whether or not Senate Bill 779 violates Article 5, Section 4, or any other section of the Texas Constitution. The bill would authorize the commissioners courts of Harris, Dallas, Bexar and Tarrant counties to supplement the salaries of the presiding judge and the judges of the Court of Criminal Appeals.

Although the Legislature is empowered to fix the amount of judicial compensation, its authority is limited by Article 5, Section 4, of the Texas Constitution which provides that the salaries of the judges and presiding judge of the Court of Criminal Appeals shall be "the same salaries as the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court." Article 5, Section 3, provides that the Chief Justice and Associate Justices of the Supreme Court receive "such compensation as shall be provided by law." See Attorney General Opinion M-1003 (1971).

The salaries of Supreme Court Associate Justices are not supplemented. Therefore Senate Bill 779 would allow a disparity between the compensation received by the judges and justices of the Texas Supreme Court and the Court of Criminal Appeals, and would violate Article 5, Section 4, of the Texas Constitution.

Honorable Jim Wallace, page 2 (L.A. No. 26)

For the foregoing reasons, it is our opinion that Senate Bill No. 779, if enacted, would be unconstitutional.

Very truly yours,

JOHN L. HILL

Attorney General of Texas

APPRQVED:

LARRY F. YORK, First Assistant

DAVID M. KENDALL, Chairman

Opinion Committee